

California Integrated Waste Management Board

Linda Moulton-Patterson, Chair 1001 I Street • Sacramento, California 95814 • (916) 341-6000 Mailing Address: P. O. Box 4025, Sacramento, CA 95812-4025 www.ciwmb.ca.gov



Arnold Schwarzenegger Governor

AGENDA

RIGID PLASTIC PACKAGING CONTAINER (RPPC) - RECYCLING RATE WORKSHOP

Thursday, February 5, 2004 9:00 a.m. – 1:00 p.m.

Sierra Hearing Room Cal/EPA Headquarters Building 1001 I Street, Sacramento

TIME	TOPIC	ACTION
9:00-9:30	Open Workshop	 Welcome workshop participants (Mike Leaon) Review workshop agenda, desired outcomes¹, and meeting guidelines (Mike Leaon and Sheridan Merritt) Introductory remarks (CIWMB Board Member(s))
9:30 – 9:45	Orientation to California's Rigid Plastic Packaging Container Program	 Provide RPPC program overview (Mike Leaon) Ask questions and request clarification (all workshop participants)
9:45 – 10:10	Perspectives on Barriers That Prevent the Use of the Existing Methodology for Calculating RPPC Recycling Rates	 Share CIWMB staff perspectives regarding why existing methodology for calculation the RPPC recyling rates is no longer feasible nor desirable² (Sue Ingle) Ask questions and request clarification (all workshop participants)
10:10 – 10:30	Break	
10:30 – 11:00	Present and Discuss Option # 1 Presented in January Board Agenda Item	Review options proposed by CIWMB staff for statutory fix for inability to calculate timely, accurate and precise RPPC recycling rates. (Michael Leaon)
11:00 – 11:30	Identify Alternative Options for Calculating California Specific RPPC Recycling Rates	 Present alternative methodologies³ (Michael Leaon) Identify additional methodologies for group discussion (all workshop participants)
11:30 – 11:40	Break	

¹

¹ Workshop objectives: 1) Increase stakeholders' understanding of why the existing RPPC rates calculation methodology is infeasible; 2) Provide a forum for dialogue between and amongst stakeholder groups (manufacturers, recyclers, collectors) to identify potential alternative methodologies for consideration by the Board at its' March 2004 meeting.

² Barriers and Issues: 1) Lack of timely and California specific data; 2) Accuracy and precision (inability to disaggregate national resin sales data by resin and container type); 2) Current rates not directly related to regulated RPPCs; 3) Transparency - ease of calculation and ability to replicate (based on readily available data); 4) Need for independent data; 5) Cost effectiveness; 6) Other?

11:40 – 12:40	Evaluate proposed methodologies based on prescribed criteria		All workshop participants discuss, and evaluate proposed methodologies (all workshop participants) Comments, support and opposition documented (Sheridan Merritt)
12:40 – 1:00	Workshop Wrap-Up and Evaluation	•	Summarize workshop results and next steps (Mike Leaon) Evaluate workshop

[&]quot;The six-member California Integrated Waste Management Board promotes a zero waste California in partnership with local government, industry, and the public. This means reducing waste whenever possible, promoting the management of all materials to their highest and best use, and protecting public health and safety and the environment."